IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

SR. No.151

CRWP-5744-2022

Date of Decision:13.06.2022

Gulam Deen and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BED

Present:

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate,

for the petitioners.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

This is a Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the

Constitution of India for issuing a writ in the nature of mandamus directing

respondent Nos.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the

hands of private respondent Nos.5 to 7.

In the present case, both the petitioners are Muslims. They fell

in love some time ago and decided to perform marriage. The date of birth of

petitioner No.1 is 14.05.2001 and that of petitioner No.2 is 01.01.2006 as

per their Aadhar Cards, which have been annexed with the petition as

Annexures P-1 and P-2, respectively. Both the petitioners have solemnized

their marriage on 08.06.2022 as per Muslim rites and ceremonies.

Learned counsel for the petitioners would contend that this is

the first marriage of both the petitioners. He has relied upon the decisions

by this Court in 'Kammu vs. State of Haryana & Ors.' [2010(4) RCR

(Civil) 716]; 'Yunus Khan vs. State of Haryana & Ors.' [2014(3) RCR

(Criminal) 518] and 'Mohd. Samim vs. State of Haryana & Ors.' [2019(1)

1 of 4

::: Downloaded on - 20-06-2022 11:17:07 :::

RCR (Criminal) 685] to contend that in Muslim law puberty and majority are one and the same and that there is a presumption that a person attains majority at the age of 15 years. It is further contented that a Muslim boy or Muslim girl who has attained puberty is at liberty to marry any one he or she likes and the guardian has no right to interfere.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the life and liberty of the petitioners is in grave danger at the hands of respondent Nos.5 to 7. It is further contended that the petitioners have also moved a representation dated 09.06.2022 (Annexure P-4) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot (respondent No.2). However, no action has been taken thereon. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he limits his prayer in the present petition and would be satisfied at this stage if directions are issued for deciding the said representation (Annexure P-4) in a time-bound manner in accordance with law.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4.

This Court has taken note of the judgements cited on behalf of the petitioners and also the fact that the girl in the instant case i.e. petitioner No.2 is aged more than 16 years. In the case of *Yunus Khan(supra)* it has been noted that the marriage of a Muslim girl is governed by the personal law of the Muslims. Article 195 from the book 'Principles of Mohammedan Law by Sir Dinshah Fardunji Mulla' has also been reproduced in the said decision which Article reads as under:

- "195. Capacity for marriage (1) Every Mahomedan of sound mind, who has attained puberty, may enter into a contract of marriage.
- (2) Lunatics and minors who have not attained puberty may be validly contracted in marriage by their respective guardians.
- (3) A marriage of a Mahomedan who is sound mind and has attained puberty, is void, if it is brought about without his consent.

Explanation - Puberty is presumed, in the absence of evidence, on completion of the age of fifteen years."

The law, as laid down in various judgments cited above, is clear that the marriage of a Muslim girl is governed by the Muslim Personal Law. As per Article 195 from the book 'Principles of Mohammedan Law by Sir Dinshah Fardunji Mulla', the petitioner No.2 being over 16 years of age was competent to enter into a contract of marriage with a person of her choice. Petitioner No.1 is stated to be more than 21 years of age. Thus, both the petitioners are of marriageable age as envisaged by Muslim Personal Law. In any event, the issue in hand is not with regard to the validity of the marriage but to address the apprehension raised by the petitioners of danger to their life and liberty at the hands of the private respondents and to provide them protection as envisaged under Article 21 of the Constitution of India. Article 21 of the Constitution of India provides for protection of life and personal liberty and further lays down that no person shall be deprived of his or her life and personal liberty except as per the procedure established by law. The Court cannot shut its eyes to the fact that the apprehension of the petitioners needs to be addressed. Merely because the petitioners have got married against the wishes of their family members, they cannot

CRWP-5744-2022 -4-

possibly be deprived of the fundamental rights as envisaged in the

Constitution of India.

In view of the above discussion and without expressing any

opinion with regard to the veracity of the contents of the petition and the

submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition

is disposed off with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police,

Pathankot (respondent No.2) to decide the representation of the petitioners

dated 09.06.2022 (Annexure P-4) and take necessary action as per law. It is,

however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on any other civil or

criminal proceedings, if any, instituted/pending against either of the parties.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)

13.06.2022 mks

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO

Whether Reportable: YES / NO